# Single Source Molecular Precursors to Niobia–Silica and Niobium Phosphate Materials<sup>#</sup>

Claus G. Lugmair and T. Don Tilley

Department of Chemistry, University of California, California 94720-1460, Berkeley and the Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

Received November 3, 2005; accepted (revised) January 13, 2006 Published online March 17, 2006  $\odot$  Springer-Verlag 2006

**Summary.** The molecular precursors  $Nb(O^i Pr)_2[OSi(O^t Bu)_3]_3$  and  $\{Nb(O^i Pr)_4[O_2P(O^t Bu)_2]\}_2$  have been prepared. The first compound undergoes facile thermal conversion to high surface area, acidic niobia silica, whereas the second one thermally decomposes to a low surface area niobium phosphate.

Keywords. Niobia; Siloxide; Phosphate; Molecular precursors; Silicate.

## Introduction

Hydrated Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> exhibit high acid strengths making these oxides useful catalysts for reactions that involve water as a reagent or byproduct, such as esterification, condensation, and hydration reactions [1]. Thermal treatment of these oxides to temperatures above  $600^{\circ}$ C results in loss of acidity with concomitant dehydration, crystallization of  $M_2O_5$ , and loss of surface area [2]. Thus, numerous investigations have focused on improving the catalytic properties of  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  and  $Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ . Strategies typically involve dispersing these oxides on supports such as silica [3], or doping them with other oxides in order to prevent sintering and crystallization of the metal oxide [4]. The interaction between two oxides is well known to result in modification of the surface active sites or the generation of new acid sites not found on the pure metal oxides. Dumesic et al. have discussed the properties of acid sites generated by supporting metal oxides on silica [5]. Models predicting acid site generation on homogeneously mixed oxides have been proposed by Kung [6] and Tanabe [1, 7].

Corresponding author. E-mail: tdtilley@berkeley.edu

 $#$  Dedicated to Prof. Dr. *Ulrich Schubert*, in honor of his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday, and in recognition of his many seminal contributions to inorganic chemistry

Niobia–silica mixed oxides have been extensively investigated by *Ko et al.* [3a, 8, 9]. At low pretreatment temperatures (100-200°C) this mixed oxide possesses strong Brønsted acidity whereas at higher temperatures (300°C) Lewis acidity predominates. Based on Raman spectroscopic studies, it was proposed that NbO4 tetrahedra are the dominant species present after heat treatment to 500 $^{\circ}$ C. The total acidity of the mixed oxide did not decrease until  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ crystallized, indicating that acidity originates from highly dispersed metal oxide units.

Our approach for the preparation of highly homogeneous mixed element oxide materials entails the use of oxygen rich ''single source precursor'' complexes containing  $OSi(O^tBu)_{3}$  and/or  $O_2P(O^tBu)_{2}$  ligands [10]. These types of complexes decompose to form isobutene and water as the primary volatile products leaving carbon-free metal silica or metal phosphate materials. The thermal nature of this transformation allows the synthesis of solid-state materials without the addition of water. Thus, the hydrolysis of  $M-O-M'$  linkages that are built into the precursors is minimized.

Here we report the synthesis of molecular precursors to  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $NbPO<sub>5</sub>$  materials. Solution thermolysis of the niobia–silica precursors produced high surface area xerogels, whereas the niobium phosphate precursor produced a low surface area powder. Some of the physical properties of these solid-state materials are also described.

### Results

#### Synthesis and Characterization of the Precursor Complexes

The reaction between  $Nb(O^i Pr)_5$  and excess  $HOSi(O^tBu)_3$  in refluxing THF resulted in elimination of three equivalents of isopropanol and the formation of  $Nb(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>[OSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub>(1, Eq. (1)).$ 

$$
\text{Nb}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_5 + 5\text{HOSi}(\text{O}^t\text{Bu})_3 \xrightarrow[\Delta]{\text{THF}} \text{Nb}(\text{O}^i\text{Pr})_2[\text{OSi}(\text{O}^t\text{Bu})_3]_3 + 3\text{HO}^i\text{Pr} \quad (1)
$$

The use of a stoichiometric amount of  $HOSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)_{3}$  (3 equiv.) produced mixtures of the bis- and tris(siloxide) complexes after 24 h at room temperature. The products were isolated by evaporation of the solvent under vacuum and removal of the excess silanol by sublimation at  $40^{\circ}$ C. Room temperature <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for 1 reveal one set of resonances for the alkoxy groups and one resonance for the siloxy ligands.

Addition of a pentane solution of one equivalent of  $HO_2P(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)_2$  to a pentane solution of  $Nb(\overrightarrow{O}^i Pr)_5$  produced the niobium phosphate complex  ${Nb(\overrightarrow{O}^i Pr)_4}$  $[O_2P(O^tBu)_2]\_2$  (2, Eq. (2)). This highly soluble material was crystallized from  $CH_2Cl_2$  in 76% yield. Room temperature multinuclear NMR spectroscopy ( ${}^{1}H, {}^{13}C,$ <sup>31</sup>P) of 2 indicates that there is one  $O_2P(O^tBu)_2$  environment. Resonances for two  $O^i$ Pr environments with equal integrated intensity are also observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. This data is consistent with a dimeric structure with bridging di(tertbutyl)phosphate groups and an octahedral coordination environment for niobium. The mass spectrum of 2 did not contain a peak corresponding to the parent ion, Niobia–Silica and Niobium Phosphate Materials 559

however, the fragmentation pattern was consistent with the loss of organic fragments from a dimer.



## Thermolytic Behavior of 1 and 2

The decomposition behavior of compounds 1 and 2 was initially probed by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). The TGA trace for 1 (Fig. 1) shows that weight loss begins near 50 $^{\circ}$ C and a more precipitous weight loss occurs around 200 $^{\circ}$ C. At 1000°C a ceramic yield of 27.3% is obtained, which is 4% lower than the expected ceramic yield for  $NbO<sub>2.5</sub>·3SiO<sub>2</sub> (31.3%)$ . During the thermolysis of a bulk sample of 1, a viscous oil was obtained at 150°C. Above 200°C the oily decomposition products solidified. The TGA trace for 2 shows that a gradual weight loss begins near room temperature. At about  $150^{\circ}$ C, the weight loss becomes more rapid resulting in a ceramic yield of 40.0% at 900 $^{\circ}$ C. Near 1000 $^{\circ}$ C there is a small weight loss resulting in a ceramic yield of 38.8% at 1025°C. This is slightly higher than the expected ceramic yield for  $NbPO<sub>5</sub>$  of 37.9%.



Fig. 1. TGA trace for compound 1 under nitrogen, with a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C per minute

### Solution Phase Thermolyses of 1 and 2

The facile thermal elimination of organic fragments from 1 and 2 allowed the formation of inorganic networks in solution by thermolytic means. Heating isooctane solutions of 1 to between 145 and  $150^{\circ}$ C produced soft white gels within 24 h that filled the entire volume the solution originally occupied. Upon air drying, these gels shrank to ca. 30% of their original volume and became hard, white materials. Small pieces of these xerogels appeared slightly orange and transparent under magnification. In contrast, an isooctane solution of 2 required heating to 170°C for 9d in order to form an insoluble material. In this case a very fine white precipitate settled out of solution.

After air drying, these materials were heated to  $200^{\circ}$ C for 2 h under N<sub>2</sub>. Thereafter the materials were calcined at incrementally higher temperatures for 2 h under flowing  $O_2$ . After each calcination the samples were analyzed by BET surface area analysis, XRD, and acidity measurements.

Solution thermolysis of 1 and 2 followed by air drying and calcination of the products at 200 $\degree$ C under N<sub>2</sub> resulted in materials with very low organic contents  $(1: C = 1.07\%, H = 1.82\%; 2: C = 0.29\%, H = 1.20\%).$  Further calcination to 500 °C under  $O_2$  lowered the organic content of 1 (C = 0.25%, H = 1.31%).

By elemental analysis, the xerogel derived from 1, heated to  $200^{\circ}$ C under N<sub>2</sub>, has a Nb:Si ratio of 1:3.06, which is very close to the stoichiometry in the precursor complex (found:  $Nb = 24.14\%$ ,  $Si = 22.32\%$ ). Similarly, the material derived from 2 has a Nb:P ratio of 1:1.02 which is very close to the expected ratio of 1:1 (found:  $Nb = 39.45\%$ ,  $P = 12.92\%$ ). The Nb, Si, and P analyses were lower than expected for pure  $Nb_2Si_6O_{17}$  (calcd:  $Nb = 29.67\%$ ,  $Si = 26.91\%$ ) and  $NbPO_5$ (calcd:  $Nb = 45.57\%, P = 15.19\%$ ). This is presumably due to a significant amount of water that is adsorbed on these materials and the small amount of carbon left in the samples.

Although the ceramic yield of the solid state thermolysis of 1 is low due to the volatilization of  $HOSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)_{3}$ , resulting in silica-poor materials, in solution free silanol is readily decomposed to silica in the presence of niobia- and tantala-containing gels. Samples of the air-dried gels (4 mg) derived from 1 were added to benzene- $d_6$  solutions of HOSi(O'Bu) and sealed in an NMR tube. After 12h at room temperature, 7% of the silanol were consumed with the formation of isobutene and  ${}^{t}BuOH$  in a 1:1.6 ratio over the xerogel from 1. Heating the samples to reflux for 10 min led to a 78% conversion of the silanol giving an isobutene:  ${}^{t}BuOH$ ratio of 3.3:1 over the xerogel from 1.

The xerogel derived from 1 exhibits a very high surface area (490 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) after calcination to 200 $^{\circ}$ C. Upon calcination above 500 $^{\circ}$ C this sample looses surface area and by 1300°C the surface area is reduced to  $\langle 5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The precipitate derived from 2 has a very low surface area  $(<5 \,\mathrm{m}^2\,\mathrm{g}^{-1})$  even after a mild heat treatment to 200 $^{\circ}$ C. Thermolysis of the xerogel from 1 at 500 $^{\circ}$ C under O<sub>2</sub> yields a material with a surface area of 507 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and a total pore volume of  $3.0 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The nitrogen adsorption isotherm is of type IV with a type H1 [11] (formerly type A) [12] hysteresis loop typically found to arise from condensation in cylindrical pores. The average pore diameter is  $233 \text{ Å}$  and the pore size distribution is very broad including a significant number of pores with a diameter above  $1000 \text{ Å}$ .



100 nm

Fig. 2. TEM image of the xerogel derived from 1 after calcination under oxygen at  $500^{\circ}$ C

Figure 2 shows a TEM micrograph of the xerogel from 1 after calcination to  $500^{\circ}$ C under O<sub>2</sub>. This niobia–silica material consists of fairly well defined spherical grains with diameters between 15 and 25 A˚ . The xerogel derived from 1 remained amorphous until  $ca. 900^{\circ}$ C, where diffraction peaks corresponding to an orthorhombic phase of  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  were first observed. This phase is denoted as the T (tief) modification of  $Nb_2O_5$  in the literature [13]. At this point the average crystalline domain size was about 7 nm as estimated by the Scherrer equation [14]. Upon calcination to  $1100^{\circ}$ C the average crystallite size increased to 12 nm. Calcination to 1300 $^{\circ}$ C resulted in a phase change from T-Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> to M-Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [13a, 15], a monoclinic modification, with an average crystallite size of 30 nm. At this point a very small diffraction peak corresponding to cristobalite was also detected. The precipitate derived from  $2$  remained amorphous after calcination to  $900^{\circ}$ C. After heat treatment to  $1100^{\circ}$ C diffraction peaks corresponding to t-NbPO<sub>5</sub> (tetragonal phase) [16] with an average particle size of 26 nm and one peak corresponding to m-NbPO<sub>5</sub> (monoclinic phase) [17] were observed. Calcination to  $1300^{\circ}$ C improved the crystallinity of the monoclinic phase, as determined by the appearance of several additional reflections for this phase, however the particle size remained about the same (as estimated by the Scherrer equation).

The acid strength of the xerogel prepared from 1 and the precipitate from 2 were estimated by contacting the solids with toluene or isooctane solutions of various Hammett indicators (Table 1) [7b]. The appearance of the acid color of the indicator implied that the solid has acid sites whose Hammett acidity function  $(H_0)$  is equal or less than the  $pK_a$  value of the indicator. In Table 1 a color change is indicated by a positive sign  $(+)$  and the absence of a color change is indicated by a negative sign  $(-)$ . The xerogel containing Nb oxide has surface acid sites strong enough to protonate benzalacetophenone ( $pK_a = -5.6$ ), but not anthraquinone  $(pK_a = -8.2)$ . These acid sites were retained after calcination to 1100°C. After calcination to 1300°C, this xerogel had no detectable acidity. The precipitate

Calcination temperature/ ${}^{\circ}$ C (atmosphere)	$pK_a$ of <i>Hammett</i> indicator				
	$+4.8$	$+1.5$	$-3.0$	$-5.6$	$-8.2$
$Nb_2O_5 - 3SiO_2$					
$200 (N_2)$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
500 $(O_2)$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
700 $(O_2)$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
900 $(O_2)$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
1100 $(O_2)$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$	
1300 $(O_2)$					
NbPO <sub>5</sub>					
$200 (N_2)$					
500 $(O_2)$					
700 $(O_2)$	$^+$	$\mathrm{+}$	$\pm$		
900 $(O_2)$	$^+$	$\mathrm{+}$	$^{+}$		
1100 $(O_2)$	$^+$	$\mathrm{+}$	$^{+}$		
1300 $(O_2)$	┿	$^+$	$\pm$		

Table 1. Table showing the surface acid strength of the xerogel from 1 and the precipitate from 4 measured using various *Hammett* indicators; the appearance of the acid color of the indicator is indicated by  $a + sign$  and the absence of a color change is indicated by  $a - sign$ 

derived from 2 had no detectable acidity after calcination to  $500^{\circ}$ C. After calcination to 700°C the material possessed acid sites that protonated dicinnamalacetone  $(pK_a = -3.0)$  but not benzalacetophenone  $(pK_a = -5.6)$ . This acidity was retained after calcination to  $1300^{\circ}$ C for 2 h.

#### **Discussion**

The niobium siloxide precursor 1 is readily prepared by alcohol elimination from corresponding pentaalkoxides. Complexes containing more than three siloxide ligands were obtained even under forcing conditions. Similarly, Wolczanski has reported Nb and Ta complexes containing never more than three tritox  $(^tBu_3CO)$ or silox ( $^{t}Bu_3SiO$ ) ligands [18]. In contrast, *Bradley* has reported the homoleptic siloxide, Ta( $OSiMe<sub>3</sub>$ )<sub>5</sub>, containing the less sterically demanding  $OSiMe<sub>3</sub>$  ligand [19]. Presumably, the structure of 1 is similar to that of the related tantalum complex Ta(OEt)<sub>2</sub>[OSi(O'Bu)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub>, which is monomeric and contains a chelating OSi(O'Bu)<sub>3</sub> ligand [20].

The thermolysis behavior of 1 is markedly different from what is observed for the group 4 metal tetrasiloxides,  $M[OSi(O'Bu)_3]_4$  ( $M = Zr$ , Hf). The Zr and Hf siloxides display a very abrupt weight loss with the formation of 12 equivalents of isobutene and 6 equivalents of water and a theoretical ceramic yield for  $MSi<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>$ . Thermolysis of the Nb complex, on the other hand, produces large quantities of alcohols as well as  $HOSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)_{3}$ , resulting in ceramic yields lower than expected for  $M_2O_5.6SiO_2$ .

Complex 1 is a good precursor for the thermal preparation of homogeneous mixed oxide gels in organic solvents. The metal-to-silicon ratios in the xerogel pre-

pared from 1 are very similar to the ratio found in the precursors  $(1M:3Si)$ . Any free  $HOSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)$ <sub>3</sub> that is formed during the thermolysis is rapidly decomposed to silica, isobutene, and water. The xerogel prepared in toluene has a high surface area (507 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), even after calcination to 500°C. *Ko et al.* prepared a  $Nb_2O_5-SiO_2$ xerogel [3a] and an aerogel [9b], each having a Nb:Si ratio of 1:7. After calcination to  $500^{\circ}$ C these materials had surface areas of  $480 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and  $670 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The higher surface area of these materials may be partially attributed to their higher silica content.

As with other multicomponent mixed oxide ceramics the temperature at which a single component metal oxide phase separates and crystallizes can be used as a gauge of the original homogeneity of the mixed material [9b]. For well-mixed oxides more extensive diffusion must occur prior to nucleation and grain growth. This will delay the appearance of crystalline single component oxides. Bulk  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ can exist in several crystalline modifications, the most common of which are denoted by the letters T (tief), M (medium), and H (high) [13a]. Amorphous  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  crystallizes into the T phase at *ca*. 500°C, into the M phase at *ca*. 800°C, and into the H phase at ca.  $1000^{\circ}$ C [21]. The effect of the silica phase on the crystallization behavior of  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  is evident in the thermolysis behavior of the xerogel derived from 1. For this material, crystallization of the T phase is delayed until  $900^{\circ}$ C and transformation to the M phase is not observed until 1300 $^{\circ}$ C. Similarly, the  $Nb_2O_5-SiO_2$  materials prepared by *Ko* remained amorphous up to 800°C and by 1000°C a low temperature phase of  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  had crystallized [3a, 9].

The strength of the strongest acid sites on  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> – SiO<sub>2</sub>$  were estimated using several Hammett indicators. The results indicate that strong acid sites ( $pK_a \le -5.6$ ) are present on the surface even after calcination to 1100°C. At these temperatures poorly crystalline  $M_2O_5$  is present in the materials (by XRD). However, a significant portion of the metal oxide must remain finely dispersed in the silica since bulk  $Nb_2O_5$  is known to have negligible acidity after calcination to 500°C [2]. The further phase separation and crystal growth of the  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  phases at 1300°C renders these materials nonacidic. At this temperature the material also becomes nonporous.

Unfortunately, the thermolytic decomposition of 2 in toluene only produces low surface area precipitates. The precipitate remains amorphous to very high temperatures (1100 $^{\circ}$ C), at which point t-NbPO<sub>5</sub> and small amounts of m-NbPO<sub>5</sub> crystallize. Monoclinic NbPO<sub>5</sub> is known to form by thermolysis of  $Nb_2O_5.2P_2O_5$ at 1070 $\degree$ C with the loss of volatile P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [22]. The small weight loss observed in the TGA curve for the precipitate derived from 2 at  $ca$ . 1000 $\degree$ C suggests that  $m-NbPO<sub>5</sub>$  crystallizes from phosphorous rich regions of the sample in a similar manner. Amorphous niobium phosphate, prepared from potassium niobate and phosphoric acid, crystallizes at ca.  $830^{\circ}$ C to NbPO<sub>5</sub> [4b]. The late crystallization of the precipitate derived from 2 and the formation of m-NbPO<sub>5</sub> indicate that the precipitate is not very homogeneous. Interestingly this precipitate is not acidic when calcined to  $200^{\circ}$ C or  $500^{\circ}$ C but has moderately strong acid sites when calcined between 700 and 1300°C. Studies of other niobium phosphate materials show that strong acidity is obtained at low pretreatment temperatures and that acid strength as well as the number of acid sites decreases with calcination temperature [4a, b].

#### **Conclusions**

New single source molecular precursors were obtained for the preparation of  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ –SiO<sub>2</sub> and NbPO<sub>5</sub> materials. High surface area  $Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$ –3SiO<sub>2</sub> xerogels can be readily prepared in organic solvents *via* mild thermolysis. The highly homogeneous nature of these xerogels is reflected in the late crystallization of the phaseseparated metal oxides. Unlike the homoleptic Zr and Hf siloxide precursors, the Nb mixed ligand siloxide precursor decomposes in the solid state to form significant amounts of silanol. The reason for this behavior is yet unclear. Strong acid sites are retained in these materials to surprisingly high calcination temperatures.

### Experimental

All manipulations were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard *Schlenk* techniques or a Vacuum Atmospheres dry box. Diethyl ether, THF, and n-pentane were distilled from Na benzophenone under  $N_2$ . Toluene and benzene were distilled from Na under  $N_2$  and then degassed. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX-300 spectrometer at 300 ( $\rm ^1H$ ), 75.5 ( $\rm ^{13}C$ ), or 121.5 ( $\rm ^{31}P$ ) MHz, or on a Bruker AMX-400 spectrometer at 400 (<sup>1</sup>H), 100 (<sup>13</sup>C), or 161 (<sup>31</sup>P) MHz. Benzene- $d_6$ , vacuum transferred from a  $Na/K$  alloy, was used as the solvent for all NMR studies. Infrared spectra were collected as Nujol mulls on a Mattson galaxy 3000 spectrometer, using CsI cells. Thermolyses were performed using a *Lindberg* 1700°C or a *Lindberg* 1200°C three zone tube furnace. Heat treatments were carried out under flowing  $(500 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1})$  N<sub>2</sub> (99.98%) or O<sub>2</sub> (99.96%). Electron microscopy was performed on a JEOL 100CX. Thermal analyses were performed on a DuPont model 2000 thermal analysis system. Powder X-ray diffraction was performed on a Siemens D5000 spectrometer. Volatile thermolysis products were separated using a Hewlett Packard HP5890 gas chromatograph with a 30M-DB5MS column and a 5970A series mass selective detector. The compounds  $HO_2P(O'Bu)_2$  [23], HOSi(O'Bu)<sub>3</sub> [24], and Nb(O'Pr)<sub>5</sub> [25] were prepared according to published procedures.

# $Nb(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>[OSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>3</sub>(1)$

A THF solution (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) of HOSi(O'Bu)<sub>3</sub> (3.40 g, 12.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a THF solution (25 cm<sup>3</sup>) of 1.00 g Nb( $O^{i}Pr$ )<sub>5</sub> (2.58 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the excess  $HOSi(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)$ <sub>3</sub> was sublimed from the product at  $70^{\circ}$ C/0.001 mm Hg over 4 h. The remaining white solid was extracted into  $20 \text{ cm}^3$  pentane. The solution was filtered, concentrated to 5 cm<sup>3</sup>, and cooled ( $-40^{\circ}$ C) to afford 1.44 g **1** as colorless crystals in 56% yield. Anal: calcd. for  $C_{42}H_{95}O_{14}Si_3Nb$  C 50.37 H 9.56, found C 50.04 H 9.68; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz})$ :  $\delta = 5.44$  (sept, 2H,  $J = 6.1 \text{ Hz}$ , OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.51 (s, 81H, OSi(O'Bu)<sub>3</sub>), 1.47 (d, 12H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz, OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta = 76.95$  (OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 72.81 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 31.98 (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.41 (OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) ppm; IR (CsI, Nujol):  $\bar{\nu} = 1387$  m, 1364 m, 1241 m, 1215 w sh, 1192 m, 1127 m, 1067 s, 1056 s, 1028 msh, 980 m, 923 s, 851 w, 831 w, 701 m, 658 vw, 591 m, 547 vw, 513 w sh, 494 w, 470 m, 430 w sh cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# $[({}^{i}Pro)_{4}Nb(O_{2}P(O^{t}Bu)_{2})]_{2}$  (2)

A pentane solution (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) of  $HO_2P(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)_2$  (0.50 g, 2.38 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirring *n*pentane solution (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) of Nb(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>5</sub> (0.924 g, 2.38 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the remaining white solid was extracted into  $20 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The solution was filtered, concentrated to 5 cm<sup>3</sup>, and cooled ( $-80^{\circ}$ C) to afford 0.975 g of 2 as colorless crystals in 76% yield. Anal: calcd. for  $C_{20}H_{46}NbO_8P$  C 44.68 H 8.62, found C 44.50 H 8.85; MS (EI, 70 eV):  $m/e$  (%) (M = dimer) = 1017 (80) [M<sup>+</sup> - O<sup>*i*</sup>Pr], 958 (60) [M<sup>+</sup> - 2O<sup>*i*</sup>Pr], 915 (60)  $[M^+ - O^i Pr - HO^i Pr - H_2C = CHCH_3]$ , 901 (20)  $[M^+ - O^i Pr - HO^i Pr - H_2C = CHCH_3]$ ;<br><sup>1</sup>H NMB (400 MHz);  $\delta = 5.08$  (cont. 2H  $I = 6.1$  Hz, NbOCH(CH))), 4.07 (cont. 2H  $I = 6.1$  Hz <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz):  $\delta = 5.08$  (sept, 2H, J = 6.1 Hz, NbOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 4.97 (sept, 2H, J = 6.1 Hz,

NbOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (s, 18H, O<sub>2</sub>P(O'Bu)<sub>2</sub>), 1.49 (d, 12H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz, NbOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.41 (d, 12H,  $J = 6.1$  Hz, NbOCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta = 79.86$  (d, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP} = 8$  Hz, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 77.20 (s, OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 73.72 (s, OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 30.46 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CP</sub> = 4 Hz, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 26.34 (s, OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.61 (s, OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) ppm; <sup>31</sup>P{H} NMR (161.9 MHz):  $\delta = -20.46$  (s) ppm; IR  $(CsI, Nujol): \bar{\nu} = 1601 \text{ m}, 1391 \text{ m}, 1370 \text{ m}, 1360 \text{ w} \text{ sh}, 1325 \text{ m}, 1253 \text{ m} \text{ sh}, 1235 \text{ s}, 1163 \text{ m} \text{ sh},$ 1141 s, 1117 m sh, 1084 s, 1038 m sh, 1018 s, 986 s, 919 w, 847 m, 722 w, 697 w sh, 580 s, 491 m sh, 461 m, 443 w sh, 434 vw sh, 412 vw cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

#### References

- [1] Tanabe K, Misono M, Ono Y, Hattori H (1989) In: Delmon B, Yates JT (eds) New Solid Acids and Bases: their Catalytic Properties; Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, vol 51. Elsevier, New York
- [2] Chen Z, Izuka T, Tanabe K (1984) Chem Lett 13: 1085
- [3] a) Burke PA, Ko EI (1991) J Catal 129: 38; b) Jehng J, Wachs IE (1990) Catal Today 8: 37; c) Nishimura M, Asakura K, Iwasawa Y (1986) J Chem Soc Chem Commun: 1660; d) Ichikuni N, Asakura K, Iwasawa Y (1991) J Chem Soc Chem Commun: 112; e) Yoshida H, Tanaka T, Yoshida T, Funabiki T, Yoshida S (1996) Catal Today 28: 79; f) Yoshida S, Nishimura Y, Tanaka T, Kanai H, Funabiki T (1990) Catal Today 8: 6; g) Shirai M, Ichikuni N, Asakura K, Iwasawa Y (1990) Catal Today 8: 57; h) Tanaka T, Nojima H, Yoshida H, Nakagawa H, Funabiki T, Yoshida S (1993) Catal Today 16: 297; i) Asakura K, Iwasawa Y (1991) J Phys Chem 95: 1711; j) Tanaka T, Takenaka S, Funabiki T, Yoshida S (1994) Chem Lett 23: 809
- [4] a) Florentino A, Cartraud P, Magnoux P, Guisnet M (1992) Appl Catal A: General 89: 143; b) Okazaki S, Wada N (1993) Catal Today 16: 349; c) Waghray A, Ko EI (1996) Catal Today 28: 41; d) Morikawa A, Ebitani K, Hirano Y (1996) Catal Today 28: 91; e) Mastuura I, Ishimura T, Hayakawa S, Kimura N (1996) Catal Today 28: 133
- [5] a) Connell G, Dumesic JA (1987) J Catal 05: 285; b) Kataoka T, Dumesic JA (1988) J Catal 112: 66
- [6] Kung HH (1984) J Solid State Chem 52: 191
- [7] a) Tanabe K, Sumiyoshi T, Shibata K, Kiyoura T, Kitagawa J (1974) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 47: 1064; b) Tanabe K (1981) In: Anderson JR, Boudart M (eds) Catalysis Science and Technology, vol 2. Academic Press, New York, p 231
- [8] DeCanio EC, Nero VP, Ko EI (1994) J Catal 146: 317
- [9] a) Maurer SM, Ko EI (1993) Catal Today 16: 319; b) Maurer SM, Ko EI (1992) Catal Today 12: 231
- [10] a) Tilley TD (2002) J Molec Catal A Chem 182–183: 17; b) Fujdala KL, Tilley TD (2003) J Catal 216: 265; c) Cowley AH, Jones RA (1989) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 28: 1208; d) Veith M (2002) J Chem Soc Dalton Trans 2405; e) Murugavel R, Walawalkar MG, Dan M, Roesky HW, Rao CNR (2004) Acc Chem Res 37: 763
- [11] Sing KSW, Everett DH, Haul RAW, Moscou L, Pierotti RA, Rouqerol J, Siemieniewska T (1985) Pure Appl Chem 57: 603
- [12] a) Lowell S, Shields JE (1984) Powder Surface Area and Porosity. Chapman and Hall, New York; b) In: Lecloux AJ, Anderson RJ, Boudart M (eds) Catalysis Science and Technology. Springer, New York, p 171
- [13] a) Schafer H, Gruehn R, Schulte F (1966) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 5: 40; b) International Center for Diffraction Data ''PC-PDF'' vol 2, 1988; Card # 30-873
- [14] Scherrer P (1918) Nachr Ges Wiss Göttingen: 96
- [15] International Center for Diffraction Data ''PC-PDF'' vol 2, 1988; Card # 30-872
- [16] International Center for Diffraction Data ''PC-PDF'' vol 2, 1988; Card # 19-866
- [17] International Center for Diffraction Data ''PC-PDF'' vol 2, 1988; Card # 27-1316
- [18] Wolczanski PT (1995) Polyhedron 14: 3335
- [19] Bradley DC, Thomas IM (1959) J Chem Soc 3404
- [20] Brutchey RL, Lugmair CG, Schebaum LO, Tilley TD (2005) J Catal 229: 72
- [21] Ko EI, Weissman JG (1990) Catal Today 8: 27
- [22] Levin EM, Roth RS (1970) J Solid State Chem 2: 250
- [23] Goldwhite H, Saunders BC (1957) J Chem Soc 2409
- [24] Zwierzak A, Kluba M (1971) Tetrahedron 27: 3163
- [25] Terry KW PhD Thesis, University of California, San Diego, 1993